Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,574	SEO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven E. Holton	2629
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>		
(1) <u>Steven E. Holton</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jun Ha</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>25 June 2008</u>	Time: <u>9:30 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 8, 11, 15, and 19 Prior art documents discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Examiner's Amendment was proposed on 6/18/2008. The Applicant's Representative discussed the proposal with the Applicant and the proposal was a agreed to on 6/25/2008. Specific changes to claims 1, 5, 8, 11, 15, and 19 were discussed and an amendment to the specification was also discussed. Agreement was reached to place the case in condition for allowance..